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## Executive Summary

To predict student achievement on the Washington Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium (WA SBAC) summative assessments in Grades 3-8 English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics, NWEA ${ }^{\circledR}$ conducted a linking study using Spring 2019 data to derive Rasch Unit (RIT) cut scores on the MAP ${ }^{\circledR}$ Growth ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ assessments that correspond to the WA SBAC achievement levels. With this information, educators can identify students at risk of failing to meet state proficiency standards early in the year and provide tailored educational interventions. ${ }^{1}$ The linking study has been updated since the previous SBAC study published in June 2017 based on multiple states' data to incorporate the new 2020 NWEA MAP Growth norms (Thum \& Kuhfeld, 2020) and to report the results for Washington only.

Table E. 1 presents the WA SBAC Level 3 achievement level cut scores and the corresponding MAP Growth RIT cut scores that allow teachers to identify students who are on track for proficiency on the state summative test and those who are not. For example, the Level 3 cut score on the WA SBAC Grade 3 ELA test is 2432. A Grade 3 student with a MAP Growth Reading RIT score of 189 in the fall is likely to meet proficiency on the WA SBAC ELA test in the spring, whereas a Grade 3 student with a MAP Growth Reading RIT score lower than 189 in the fall is in jeopardy of not meeting proficiency. MAP Growth cut scores for Grade 2 are also provided so educators can track early learners' progress toward proficiency on the WA SBAC test by Grade 3. These cut scores were derived based on the Grade 3 cuts and the 2020 NWEA growth norms for the adjacent grade (i.e., Grades 2 to 3 ).

Table E.1. MAP Growth Cut Scores for WA SBAC Proficiency

| Assessment |  | Level 3 Cut Scores by Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| ELA/Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WA SBAC Spring |  | - | 2432 | 2473 | 2502 | 2531 | 2552 | 2567 |
| MAP Growth | Fall | 175 | 189 | 199 | 203 | 211 | 215 | 219 |
|  | Winter | 184 | 196 | 205 | 208 | 214 | 218 | 222 |
|  | Spring | 188 | 199 | 207 | 210 | 216 | 219 | 223 |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WA SBAC Spring |  | - | 2436 | 2485 | 2528 | 2552 | 2567 | 2586 |
| MAP Growth | Fall | 173 | 187 | 200 | 212 | 217 | 224 | 232 |
|  | Winter | 183 | 195 | 207 | 218 | 222 | 228 | 235 |
|  | Spring | 188 | 200 | 211 | 222 | 225 | 231 | 237 |

Please note that the results in this report may differ from those found in the NWEA reporting system for individual districts. The typical growth scores from fall to spring or winter to spring used in this report are based on the default instructional weeks most encountered for each term (i.e., Weeks 4, 20, and 32 for fall, winter, and spring, respectively). However, instructional weeks often vary by district, so the cut scores in this report may differ slightly from the MAP Growth score reports that reflect the specific instructional weeks set by partners.

[^0]
## E.1. Assessment Overview

The WA SBAC Grades 3-8 ELA and Mathematics tests are Washington's state summative assessments aligned to the Washington State K-12 Learning Standards (Common Core State Standards). Based on their test scores, students are placed into one of four achievement levels: Level 1, Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. The Level 3 cut score demarks the minimum level of achievement considered to be proficient. MAP Growth tests are adaptive interim assessments aligned to state-specific content standards and administered in the fall, winter, and spring. Scores are reported on the RIT vertical scale with a range of 100-350.

## E.2. Linking Methods

Based on scores from the Spring 2019 test administration, the equipercentile linking method was used to identify the spring MAP Growth scores that correspond to the spring WA SBAC achievement level cut scores. Spring cuts for Grade 2 were derived based on the cuts for Grade 3 and the 2020 NWEA growth norms. MAP Growth fall and winter cut scores that predict proficiency on the spring WA SBAC test were then projected using the 2020 NWEA conditional growth norms that provide expected score gains across test administrations.

## E.3. Student Sample

Only students who took both the MAP Growth and WA SBAC assessments in Spring 2019 were included in the study sample. Table E. 2 presents the weighted number of Washington students from nine districts and 98 schools who were included in the linking study. The linking study sample is voluntary and can only include student scores from partners who share their data. Also, not all students in a state take MAP Growth. The sample may therefore not represent the general student population as well as it should. To ensure that the linking study sample represents the state student population in terms of race, sex, and achievement level, weighting (i.e., a statistical method that matches the distributions of the variables of interest to those of the target population) was applied to the sample. As a result, the RIT cuts derived from the study sample can be generalized to any student from the target population. All analyses in this study for Grades 3-8 were conducted based on the weighted sample.

Table E.2. Linking Study Sample

| Grade | \#Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELA/Reading | Mathematics |
| 3 | 1,759 | 2,259 |
| 4 | 1,547 | 2,204 |
| 5 | 2,231 | 2,727 |
| 6 | 2,076 | 2,422 |
| 7 | 1,999 | 2,307 |
| 8 | 1,840 | 1,955 |

## E.4. Test Score Relationships

Correlations between MAP Growth RIT scores and WA SBAC scores range from 0.84 to 0.92 across content areas, as shown in Figure E.1. These values indicate a strong relationship among the scores, which is important validity evidence for the claim that MAP Growth scores are good predictors of performance on the WA SBAC summative assessments.

Figure E.1. Correlations between MAP Growth and WA SBAC Test Scores


## E.5. Accuracy of MAP Growth Classifications

Figure E. 2 presents the classification accuracy statistics that show the proportion of students correctly classified by their RIT scores as proficient or not proficient on the WA SBAC summative tests. For example, the MAP Growth Reading Grade 3 Level 3 cut score has a 0.87 accuracy rate, meaning it accurately classified student achievement on the state test for $87 \%$ of the sample. The results range from 0.79 to 0.89 across content areas, indicating that RIT scores have a high accuracy rate of identifying student proficiency on the WA SBAC summative tests.

Figure E.2. Accuracy of MAP Growth Classifications


## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Purpose of the Study

NWEA ${ }^{\circledR}$ is committed to providing partners with useful tools to help make inferences about student learning from MAP ${ }^{\circledR}$ Growth ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ test scores. One important use of MAP Growth results is to predict a student's performance on the state summative assessment at different times throughout the year. This allows educators and parents to determine if a student is on track in their learning to meet state standards by the end of the year or, given a student's learning profile, is on track to obtain rigorous, realistic growth in their content knowledge and skills.

This document presents results from a linking study conducted by NWEA in March 2021 to statistically connect the scores of the Washington Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium (WA SBAC) summative assessments in Grades 3-8 English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics with Rasch Unit (RIT) scores from the MAP Growth assessments taken during the Spring 2019 term. The linking study has been updated since the previous SBAC version published in June 2017 based on multiple states' data to incorporate the new 2020 NWEA MAP Growth norms (Thum \& Kuhfeld, 2020) and to report the results for Washington only. In this updated study, MAP Growth cut scores are also included for Grade 2 so educators can track early learners' progress toward proficiency on the WA SBAC summative test by Grade 3. This report presents the following results:

1. Student sample demographics
2. Descriptive statistics of test scores
3. MAP Growth cut scores that correspond to the WA SBAC achievement levels using the equipercentile linking procedure for the spring results and the 2020 norms for the fall and winter results
4. Classification accuracy statistics to determine the degree to which MAP Growth accurately predicts student proficiency status on the WA SBAC summative tests
5. The probability of achieving grade-level proficiency on the WA SBAC assessment based on MAP Growth RIT scores from fall, winter, and spring using the 2020 norms

### 1.2. Assessment Overview

The WA SBAC Grades 3-8 ELA and Mathematics summative assessments are aligned to the Washington State K-12 Learning Standards (Common Core State Standards). Each assessment has three cut scores (i.e., the minimum score a student must get on a test to be placed in a certain achievement level) that distinguish between the following achievement levels: Level 1, Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. The Level 3 cut score demarks the minimum level of performance considered to be proficient for accountability purposes.

MAP Growth interim assessments from NWEA are computer adaptive and aligned to statespecific content standards. Scores are reported on the RIT vertical scale with a range of 100350. Each content area has its own scale. To aid the interpretation of scores, NWEA periodically conducts norming studies of student and school performance on MAP Growth. Achievement status norms show how well a student performed on the MAP Growth test compared to students in the norming group by associating the student's performance on the MAP Growth test, expressed as a RIT score, with a percentile ranking. Growth norms provide expected score gains across test administrations (e.g., the relative evaluation of a student's growth from fall to spring). The most recent norms study was conducted in 2020 (Thum \& Kuhfeld, 2020).

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Data Collection

This linking study is based on data from the Spring 2019 administrations of the MAP Growth and WA SBAC assessments. NWEA recruited Washington districts to participate in the study by sharing their student and score data for the target term. Districts also gave NWEA permission to access students' associated MAP Growth scores from the NWEA in-house database. Once state score information was received by NWEA, each student's state testing record was matched to their MAP Growth score by using the student's first and last names, date of birth, student ID, and other available identifying information. Only students who took both the MAP Growth and WA SBAC assessments in Spring 2019 were included in the study sample.

### 2.2. Post-Stratification Weighting

Post-stratification weights were applied to the calculations to ensure that the linking study sample represented the state population in terms of race, sex, and achievement level. These variables were selected because they are correlated with the student's academic achievement within this study and are often provided in the data for the state population. The weighted sample matches the target population as closely as possible on the key demographics and test score characteristics. Specifically, a raking procedure was used to calculate the poststratification weights and improve the representativeness of the sample. Raking uses iterative procedures to obtain weights that match sample marginal distributions to known population margins. The following steps were taken during this process:

- Calculate marginal distributions of race, sex, and achievement level for the sample and population.
- Calculate post-stratification weights with the rake function from the survey package in R (Lumley, 2019).
- Trim the weight if it is not in the range of 0.3 to 3.0 .
- Apply the weights to the sample before conducting the linking study analyses.


### 2.3. MAP Growth Cut Scores

The equipercentile linking method (Kolen \& Brennan, 2004) was used to identify the spring MAP Growth RIT scores that correspond to the spring WA SBAC achievement level cut scores. Spring cuts for Grade 2 were derived based on the cuts for Grade 3 and the 2020 NWEA growth norms. RIT fall and winter cut scores that predict proficiency on the spring WA SBAC summative test were then projected using the 2020 growth norms. Percentile ranks are also provided that show how a nationally representative sample of students in the same grade scored on MAP Growth for each administration, which is an important interpretation of RIT scores. This is useful for understanding (1) how student scores compared to peers nationwide and (2) the relative rigor of a state's achievement level designations for its summative assessment.

The MAP Growth spring cut scores for Grades 3-8 could be calculated using the equipercentile linking method because that data are directly connected to the WA SBAC spring data used in the study. The equipercentile linking procedure matches scores on the two scales that have the same percentile rank (i.e., the proportion of tests at or below each score). For example, let $x$ represent a score on Test $X$ (e.g., WA SBAC). Its equipercentile equivalent score on Test $Y$ (e.g., MAP Growth), $e_{y}(x)$, can be obtained through a cumulative-distribution-based linking function defined in Equation 1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{y}(x)=G^{-1}[P(x)] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{y}(x)$ is the equipercentile equivalent of score $x$ on WA SBAC on the scale of MAP Growth, $P(x)$ is the percentile rank of a given score on WA SBAC, and $G^{-1}$ is the inverse of the percentile rank function for MAP Growth that indicates the score on MAP Growth corresponding to a given percentile. Polynomial loglinear pre-smoothing was applied to reduce irregularities of the score distributions and equipercentile linking curve.

The MAP Growth conditional growth norms provide students' expected score gains across terms, such as growth from fall or winter to spring within the same grade or from spring of a lower grade to the spring of the adjacent higher grade. This information can be used to calculate the fall and winter cut scores for Grades 3-8 and the fall, winter, and spring cut scores for Grade 2. Equation 2 was used to determine the previous term's or grade's MAP Growth score needed to reach the spring cut score, considering the expected growth associated with the previous RIT score:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R I T_{\text {PredSpring }}=R I T_{\text {previous }}+g \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

- $\quad$ RIT $T_{\text {PredSpring }}$ is the predicted MAP Growth spring score.
- $R I T_{\text {previous }}$ is the previous term's or grade's RIT score.
- $g$ is the expected growth from the previous RIT (e.g., fall or winter) to the spring RIT.

To derive the spring cut scores for Grade 2, the growth score from spring of one year to the next was used (i.e., the growth score from spring Grade 2 to spring Grade 3). The calculation of fall and winter cuts for Grade 2 followed the same process as the other grades. For example, the growth score from fall to spring in Grade 2 was used to calculate the fall cuts for Grade 2.

### 2.4. Classification Accuracy

The degree to which MAP Growth predicts student proficiency status on the WA SBAC tests can be described using classification accuracy statistics based on the MAP Growth spring RIT cut scores that show the proportion of students correctly classified by their RIT scores as proficient (Level 3 or Level 4) or not proficient (Level 1 or Level 2). Table 2.1 describes the classification accuracy statistics provided in this report (Pommerich et al., 2004). The results are based on the Spring 2019 MAP Growth and WA SBAC data for the Level 3 cut score.

Washington students do not begin taking the WA SBAC assessment until Grade 3, so longitudinal data were collected for the Grade 3 cohort to link the WA SBAC summative assessment to MAP Growth for Grade 2 to calculate the classification accuracy statistics. To accomplish this, 2018-2019 WA SBAC Grade 3 results were linked to MAP Growth data from Grade 3 students in 2018-2019 and Grade 2 students in 2017-2018. In this way, the data came from the same cohort of students beginning when they were in Grade 2 and continuing through Grade 3.

Table 2.1. Description of Classification Accuracy Summary Statistics

| Statistic | Description* | Interpretation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Overall <br> Classification <br> Accuracy Rate | (TP + TN) / (total <br> sample size) | Proportion of the study sample whose proficiency classification <br> on the state test was correctly predicted by MAP Growth cut <br> scores |
| False Negative <br> (FN) Rate | FN / (FN + TP) | Proportion of not-proficient students identified by MAP Growth <br> in those observed as proficient on the state test |
| False Positive <br> (FP) Rate | FP / (FP + TN) | Proportion of proficient students identified by MAP Growth in <br> those observed as not proficient on the state test |
| Sensitivity | TP / (TP + FN) | Proportion of proficient students identified by MAP Growth in <br> those observed as such on the state test |
| Specificity | TN / (TN + FP) | Proportion of not-proficient students identified by MAP Growth <br> in those observed as such on the state test |
| Precision | TP / (TP + FP) | Proportion of observed proficient students on the state test in <br> those identified as such by the MAP Growth test |
| Area Under the | Area under the <br> receiver operating <br> characteristics <br> (ROC) curve | How well MAP Growth cut scores separate the study sample <br> into proficiency categories that match those from the state test <br> cut scores. An AUC at or above 0.80 is considered "good" <br> accuracy. |

*FP = false positives. $\mathrm{FN}=$ false negatives. $\mathrm{TP}=$ true positives. $\mathrm{TN}=$ true negatives.

### 2.5. Proficiency Projection

In addition to calculating the MAP Growth fall and winter cut scores, the MAP Growth conditional growth norms data were also used to calculate the probability of reaching proficiency on the WA SBAC summative test based on a student's RIT scores from fall, winter, and spring. Equation 3 was used to calculate the probability of a student achieving Level 3 performance on the WA SBAC summative test based on their fall or winter RIT score:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}(\text { Achieving Level } 3 \text { in spring } \mid \text { starting } R I T)=\Phi\left(\frac{R I T_{\text {previous }}+g-R I T_{\text {springcut }}}{S D}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

- $\Phi$ is a standardized normal cumulative distribution.
- $R I T_{\text {previous }}$ is the student's RIT score in fall or winter (or in spring of Grade 2).
- $g$ is the expected growth from the previous RIT (e.g., fall or winter) to the spring RIT.
- $R I T_{\text {springcut }}$ is the MAP Growth Level 3 cut score for spring. For Grade 2, this is the Grade 3 cut score for spring.
- $S D$ is the conditional standard deviation of the expected growth, $g$.

Equation 4 was used to estimate the probability of a student achieving Level 3 performance on the WA SBAC summative test based on their spring RIT score ( $R I T_{\text {Spring }}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}(\text { Achieving Level } 3 \text { in spring } \mid \text { spring } R I T)=\Phi\left(\frac{R I T_{\text {Spring }}-R I T_{\text {SpringCut }}}{S E}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S E$ is the standard error of measurement for MAP Growth.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Study Sample

Only students who took both the MAP Growth and WA SBAC assessments in Spring 2019 were included in the study sample. Data used in this study were collected from nine districts and 98 schools in Washington. Table 3.1 presents the demographic distributions of race, sex, and achievement level in the original unweighted study sample. Table 3.2 presents the distributions of the student population that took the Spring 2019 WA SBAC summative assessments. Since the unweighted data are different from the general WA SBAC population, post-stratification weights were applied to the linking study sample to improve its representativeness. Table 3.3 presents the demographic distributions of the sample after weighting, which are almost identical to the WA SBAC student population distributions. The analyses in this study were therefore conducted based on the weighted sample.

Table 3.1. Linking Study Sample Demographics (Unweighted)

| Linking Study Sample (Unweighted) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demographic Subgroup |  | \%Students by Grade |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| ELA/Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total N | 1,759 | 1,547 | 2,231 | 2,076 | 1,999 | 1,840 |
| Race* | AI/AN | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
|  | Asian | 7.8 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 3.2 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
|  | Black | 13.6 | 14.0 | 8.7 | 10.2 | 8.6 | 8.6 |
|  | Hispanic | 25.1 | 28.1 | 19.3 | 19.2 | 19.4 | 18.5 |
|  | Mult-Race | 13.8 | 12.6 | 10.6 | 9.7 | 9.2 | 8.5 |
|  | NH/PI | 2.6 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
|  | White | 36.4 | 35.9 | 52.6 | 53.6 | 54.5 | 55.4 |
| Sex | Female | 48.8 | 48.5 | 47.5 | 49.7 | 46.7 | 48.3 |
|  | Male | 51.2 | 51.5 | 52.5 | 50.3 | 53.3 | 51.7 |
| Achievement Level | Level 1 | 30.5 | 32.7 | 23.3 | 16.7 | 16.5 | 17.4 |
|  | Level 2 | 26.0 | 20.4 | 18.3 | 25.0 | 20.6 | 24.1 |
|  | Level 3 | 23.8 | 25.5 | 32.0 | 35.6 | 40.9 | 38.8 |
|  | Level 4 | 19.7 | 21.5 | 26.3 | 22.7 | 22.1 | 19.7 |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total N | 2,259 | 2,204 | 2,727 | 2,422 | 2,307 | 1,955 |
| Race* | Al/AN | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.9 |
|  | Asian | 10.1 | 9.3 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 7.4 | 4.9 |
|  | Black | 16.8 | 17.8 | 12.0 | 8.5 | 7.8 | 7.2 |
|  | Hispanic | 24.9 | 26.8 | 20.4 | 19.4 | 18.4 | 18.4 |
|  | Multi-Race | 13.5 | 12.0 | 10.4 | 9.4 | 9.6 | 8.5 |
|  | NH/PI | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 3.0 |
|  | White | 32.0 | 30.3 | 45.1 | 50.6 | 53.6 | 57.1 |
| Sex | Female | 49.0 | 48.8 | 48.2 | 49.9 | 48.5 | 47.5 |
|  | Male | 51.0 | 51.2 | 51.8 | 50.1 | 51.5 | 52.5 |


| Linking Study Sample (Unweighted) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demographic Subgroup |  | \%Students by Grade |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Achievement Level | Level 1 | 26.6 | 23.1 | 27.9 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 26.9 |
|  | Level 2 | 25.7 | 33.8 | 26.0 | 27.7 | 24.2 | 21.7 |
|  | Level 3 | 27.6 | 25.3 | 19.5 | 21.6 | 25.7 | 23.0 |
|  | Level 4 | 20.1 | 17.8 | 26.6 | 28.7 | 28.1 | 28.3 |

*AI/AN = American Indian/Alaska Native. NH/PI = Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander.
Table 3.2. Spring 2019 WA SBAC Student Population Demographics

| Spring 2019 WA SBAC Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demographic Subgroup |  | \%Students by Grade |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| ELA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total N | 82,565 | 85,084 | 86,609 | 85,884 | 83,264 | 81,204 |
| Race* | Al/AN | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
|  | Asian | 7.7 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 8.1 |
|  | Black | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.3 |
|  | Hispanic | 24.0 | 24.6 | 24.6 | 24.6 | 24.3 | 23.8 |
|  | Mult-Race | 9.2 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 7.8 |
|  | NH/PI | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
|  | White | 52.5 | 52.1 | 51.9 | 52.3 | 52.9 | 53.6 |
| Sex | Female | 48.9 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 48.7 | 49.8 | 48.5 |
|  | Male | 51.1 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 51.3 | 50.2 | 51.5 |
| Achievement Level | Level 1 | 22.0 | 24.5 | 21.1 | 19.6 | 18.6 | 18.4 |
|  | Level 2 | 21.6 | 17.7 | 17.6 | 22.6 | 19.5 | 22.0 |
|  | Level 3 | 23.8 | 23.8 | 31.3 | 34.3 | 37.5 | 37.1 |
|  | Level 4 | 32.6 | 33.9 | 30.1 | 23.5 | 24.4 | 22.4 |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total N | 82,644 | 85,147 | 86,625 | 85,915 | 83,298 | 81,215 |
| Race* | Al/AN | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
|  | Asian | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 7.6 | 8.1 |
|  | Black | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.3 |
|  | Hispanic | 24.0 | 24.6 | 24.6 | 24.6 | 24.3 | 23.8 |
|  | Multi-Race | 9.1 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 7.8 |
|  | NH/PI | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
|  | White | 52.5 | 52.0 | 51.9 | 52.3 | 52.9 | 53.5 |
| Sex | Female | 48.9 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 48.7 | 48.6 | 48.5 |
|  | Male | 51.1 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 51.3 | 51.4 | 51.5 |
| Achievement Level | Level 1 | 20.5 | 18.3 | 25.9 | 26.2 | 25.5 | 30.3 |
|  | Level 2 | 20.4 | 26.8 | 25.1 | 26.2 | 24.7 | 22.5 |
|  | Level 3 | 29.2 | 27.7 | 19.3 | 21.2 | 22.9 | 19.4 |
|  | Level 4 | 29.8 | 27.2 | 29.7 | 26.5 | 26.9 | 27.8 |

*AI/AN = American Indian/Alaska Native. NH/PI = Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander.

Table 3.3. Linking Study Sample Demographics (Weighted)

| Linking Study Sample (Weighted) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demographic Subgroup |  | \%Students by Grade |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| ELA/Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total N | 1,759 | 1,547 | 2,231 | 2,076 | 1,999 | 1,840 |
| Race* | Al/AN | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
|  | Asian | 7.7 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 8.1 |
|  | Black | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.3 |
|  | Hispanic | 24.0 | 24.6 | 24.6 | 24.6 | 24.3 | 23.8 |
|  | Mult-Race | 9.2 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 7.8 |
|  | NH/PI | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
|  | White | 52.5 | 52.1 | 51.9 | 52.3 | 52.9 | 53.6 |
| Sex | Female | 48.9 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 48.7 | 49.8 | 48.5 |
|  | Male | 51.1 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 51.3 | 50.2 | 51.5 |
| Achievement Level | Level 1 | 22.0 | 24.5 | 21.1 | 19.6 | 18.6 | 18.4 |
|  | Level 2 | 21.6 | 17.8 | 17.6 | 22.6 | 19.5 | 22.0 |
|  | Level 3 | 23.8 | 23.8 | 31.3 | 34.3 | 37.5 | 37.1 |
|  | Level 4 | 32.6 | 33.9 | 30.1 | 23.5 | 24.4 | 22.4 |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total N | 2,259 | 2,204 | 2,727 | 2,422 | 2,307 | 1,955 |
| Race* | Al/AN | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
|  | Asian | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 7.6 | 8.1 |
|  | Black | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.3 |
|  | Hispanic | 24.0 | 24.6 | 24.6 | 24.6 | 24.3 | 23.8 |
|  | Multi-Race | 9.1 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 7.8 |
|  | NH/PI | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
|  | White | 52.5 | 52.0 | 51.9 | 52.3 | 52.9 | 53.5 |
| Sex | Female | 48.9 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 48.7 | 48.6 | 48.5 |
|  | Male | 51.1 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 51.3 | 51.4 | 51.5 |
| Achievement Level | Level 1 | 20.5 | 18.3 | 25.9 | 26.2 | 25.5 | 30.3 |
|  | Level 2 | 20.4 | 26.8 | 25.1 | 26.2 | 24.7 | 22.5 |
|  | Level 3 | 29.2 | 27.7 | 19.3 | 21.2 | 22.9 | 19.4 |
|  | Level 4 | 29.8 | 27.2 | 29.7 | 26.5 | 26.9 | 27.8 |

*AI/AN = American Indian/Alaska Native. NH/PI = Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander.

### 3.2. Descriptive Statistics

Table 3.4 presents descriptive statistics of the MAP Growth and WA SBAC test scores from Spring 2019, including the correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) between them. The correlation coefficients between the scores range from 0.84 to 0.86 for ELA/reading and 0.88 to 0.92 for mathematics. These values indicate a strong relationship among the scores, which is important validity evidence for the claim that MAP Growth scores are good predictors of performance on the WA SBAC summative assessments.

Table 3.4. Descriptive Statistics of Test Scores

| Grade | N | $r$ | WA SBAC* |  |  |  | MAP Growth* |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mean | SD | Min. | Max. | Mean | SD | Min. | Max. |
| ELA/Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1,759 | 0.85 | 2439.2 | 86.9 | 2176 | 2702 | 199.7 | 15.6 | 150 | 238 |
| 4 | 1,547 | 0.86 | 2483.6 | 95.5 | 2175 | 2745 | 207.0 | 15.2 | 150 | 241 |
| 5 | 2,231 | 0.85 | 2522.3 | 97.4 | 2142 | 2766 | 212.7 | 15.0 | 151 | 258 |
| 6 | 2,076 | 0.84 | 2542.3 | 96.9 | 2135 | 2819 | 216.5 | 15.4 | 162 | 256 |
| 7 | 1,999 | 0.85 | 2571.9 | 101.7 | 2206 | 2823 | 221.0 | 15.5 | 158 | 259 |
| 8 | 1,840 | 0.84 | 2583.0 | 101.9 | 2252 | 2832 | 224.4 | 15.7 | 161 | 270 |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2,259 | 0.88 | 2450.0 | 85.6 | 2105 | 2730 | 201.6 | 14.3 | 142 | 249 |
| 4 | 2,204 | 0.90 | 2491.1 | 85.0 | 2090 | 2787 | 211.6 | 15.1 | 133 | 263 |
| 5 | 2,727 | 0.91 | 2519.1 | 96.1 | 2095 | 2805 | 219.7 | 17.9 | 147 | 277 |
| 6 | 2,422 | 0.91 | 2539.0 | 108.8 | 2103 | 2911 | 223.1 | 16.9 | 163 | 275 |
| 7 | 2,307 | 0.92 | 2559.4 | 111.8 | 2122 | 2910 | 229.0 | 17.9 | 158 | 285 |
| 8 | 1,955 | 0.91 | 2569.5 | 123.1 | 2149 | 2993 | 233.6 | 19.0 | 163 | 293 |

*SD = standard deviation. Min. = minimum. Max. = maximum.

### 3.3. MAP Growth Cut Scores

Table 3.5 and Table 3.6 present the WA SBAC scale score ranges and the corresponding MAP Growth RIT cut scores and percentile ranges by content area and grade. These tables can be used to predict a student's likely achievement level on the WA SBAC spring assessment when MAP Growth is taken in the fall, winter, or spring. For example, a Grade 3 student who obtained a MAP Growth Reading RIT score of 189 in the fall is likely to achieve Level 3 performance on the WA SBAC ELA test. A Grade 3 student who obtained a MAP Growth Reading RIT score of 199 in the spring is also likely to achieve Level 3 performance on the WA SBAC summative assessment. The spring cut score is higher than the fall cut score because growth is expected between fall and spring as students receive more instruction during the school year.

Within this report, the cut scores for fall and winter are derived from the spring cuts and the typical growth scores from fall-to-spring or winter-to-spring. The typical growth scores are based on the default instructional weeks most encountered for each term (Weeks 4, 20, and 32 for fall, winter, and spring, respectively). Since instructional weeks often vary by district, the cut scores in this report may differ slightly from the MAP Growth score reports that reflect instructional weeks set by partners. If the actual instructional weeks deviate from the default ones, a student's projected achievement level could be different from the generic projection presented in this document. Partners are therefore encouraged to use the projected achievement level in students' score reports since they reflect the specific instructional weeks set by partners.

Table 3.5. MAP Growth Cut Scores-ELA/Reading

| WA SBAC ELA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Level 1 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 4 |  |
| 3 | $\leq 2366$ |  | 2367-2431 |  | 2432-2489 |  | $\geq 2490$ |  |
| 4 | $\leq 2415$ |  | 2416-2472 |  | 2473-2532 |  | $\geq 2533$ |  |
| 5 | $\leq 2441$ |  | 2442-2501 |  | 2502-2581 |  | $\geq 2582$ |  |
| 6 | $\leq 2456$ |  | 2457-2530 |  | 2531-2617 |  | $\geq 2618$ |  |
| 7 | $\leq 2478$ |  | 2479-2551 |  | 2552-2648 |  | $\geq 2649$ |  |
| 8 | $\leq 2486$ |  | 2487-2566 |  | 2567-2667 |  | $\geq 2668$ |  |
| MAP Growth Reading* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade | Level 1 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 4 |  |
|  | RIT | Percentile | RIT | Percentile | RIT | Percentile | RIT | Percentile |
| Fall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 100-158 | 1-18 | 159-174 | 19-56 | 175-188 | 57-85 | 189-350 | 86-99 |
| 3 | 100-174 | 1-23 | 175-188 | 24-55 | 189-199 | 56-78 | 200-350 | 79-99 |
| 4 | 100-188 | 1-31 | 189-198 | 32-55 | 199-207 | 56-74 | 208-350 | 75-99 |
| 5 | 100-193 | 1-25 | 194-202 | 26-45 | 203-216 | 46-77 | 217-350 | 78-99 |
| 6 | 100-196 | 1-20 | 197-210 | 21-51 | 211-224 | 52-81 | 225-350 | 82-99 |
| 7 | 100-202 | 1-24 | 203-214 | 25-51 | 215-228 | 52-80 | 229-350 | 81-99 |
| 8 | 100-205 | 1-23 | 206-218 | 24-51 | 219-234 | 52-83 | 235-350 | 84-99 |
| Winter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 100-168 | 1-20 | 169-183 | 21-56 | 184-195 | 57-83 | 196-350 | 84-99 |
| 3 | 100-182 | 1-24 | 183-195 | 25-54 | 196-205 | 55-76 | 206-350 | 77-99 |
| 4 | 100-194 | 1-31 | 195-204 | 32-55 | 205-212 | 56-73 | 213-350 | 74-99 |
| 5 | 100-199 | 1-27 | 200-207 | 28-46 | 208-220 | 47-76 | 221-350 | 77-99 |
| 6 | 100-201 | 1-22 | 202-213 | 23-49 | 214-226 | 50-79 | 227-350 | 80-99 |
| 7 | 100-205 | 1-24 | 206-217 | 25-51 | 218-230 | 52-79 | 231-350 | 80-99 |
| 8 | 100-208 | 1-24 | 209-221 | 25-53 | 222-235 | 54-81 | 236-350 | 82-99 |
| Spring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 100-173 | 1-22 | 174-187 | 23-55 | 188-199 | 56-81 | 200-350 | 82-99 |
| 3 | 100-186 | 1-26 | 187-198 | 27-54 | 199-208 | 55-76 | 209-350 | 77-99 |
| 4 | 100-197 | 1-33 | 198-206 | 34-54 | 207-214 | 55-72 | 215-350 | 73-99 |
| 5 | 100-201 | 1-28 | 202-209 | 29-47 | 210-221 | 48-74 | 222-350 | 75-99 |
| 6 | 100-203 | 1-23 | 204-215 | 24-51 | 216-227 | 52-77 | 228-350 | 78-99 |
| 7 | 100-207 | 1-25 | 208-218 | 26-51 | 219-231 | 52-79 | 232-350 | 80-99 |
| 8 | 100-210 | 1-25 | 211-222 | 26-52 | 223-236 | 53-81 | 237-350 | 82-99 |

*Cut scores for fall and winter are derived from the spring cuts and growth norms based on the typical instructional weeks. Spring cut scores for Grade 2 were derived from the Grade 3 cuts using the growth norms. Bolded numbers indicate the cut scores considered to be at least proficient for accountability purposes.

Table 3.6. MAP Growth Cut Scores-Mathematics

| WA SBAC Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Level 1 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 4 |  |
| 3 | $\leq 2380$ |  | 2381-2435 |  | 2436-2500 |  | $\geq 2501$ |  |
| 4 | $\leq 2410$ |  | 2411-2484 |  | 2485-2548 |  | $\geq 2549$ |  |
| 5 | $\leq 2454$ |  | 2455-2527 |  | 2528-2578 |  | $\geq 2579$ |  |
| 6 | $\leq 2472$ |  | 2473-2551 |  | 2552-2609 |  | $\geq 2610$ |  |
| 7 | $\leq 2483$ |  | 2484-2566 |  | 2567-2634 |  | $\geq 2635$ |  |
| 8 | $\leq 2503$ |  | 2504-2585 |  | 2586-2652 |  | $\geq 2653$ |  |
| MAP Growth Mathematics* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade | Level 1 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 4 |  |
|  | RIT | Percentile | RIT | Percentile | RIT | Percentile | RIT | Percentile |
| Fall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 100-161 | 1-15 | 162-172 | 16-43 | 173-185 | 44-79 | 186-350 | 80-99 |
| 3 | 100-177 | 1-21 | 178-186 | 22-45 | 187-197 | 46-75 | 198-350 | 76-99 |
| 4 | 100-186 | 1-18 | 187-199 | 19-50 | 200-210 | 51-78 | 211-350 | 79-99 |
| 5 | 100-198 | 1-24 | 199-211 | 25-56 | 212-220 | 57-77 | 221-350 | 78-99 |
| 6 | 100-204 | 1-26 | 205-216 | 27-55 | 217-225 | 56-75 | 226-350 | 76-99 |
| 7 | 100-210 | 1-29 | 211-223 | 30-58 | 224-233 | 59-78 | 234-350 | 79-99 |
| 8 | 100-218 | 1-37 | 219-231 | 38-64 | 232-241 | 65-81 | 242-350 | 82-99 |
| Winter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 100-171 | 1-17 | 172-182 | 18-46 | 183-193 | 47-77 | 194-350 | 78-99 |
| 3 | 100-185 | 1-22 | 186-194 | 23-45 | 195-204 | 46-73 | 205-350 | 74-99 |
| 4 | 100-192 | 1-18 | 193-206 | 19-52 | 207-217 | 53-78 | 218-350 | 79-99 |
| 5 | 100-204 | 1-26 | 205-217 | 27-57 | 218-226 | 58-77 | 227-350 | 78-99 |
| 6 | 100-209 | 1-27 | 210-221 | 28-55 | 222-230 | 56-74 | 231-350 | 75-99 |
| 7 | 100-213 | 1-28 | 214-227 | 29-58 | 228-237 | 59-77 | 238-350 | 78-99 |
| 8 | 100-221 | 1-37 | 222-234 | 38-63 | 235-244 | 64-80 | 245-350 | 81-99 |
| Spring |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 100-177 | 1-19 | 178-187 | 20-45 | 188-198 | 46-75 | 199-350 | 76-99 |
| 3 | 100-190 | 1-23 | 191-199 | 24-46 | 200-209 | 47-73 | 210-350 | 74-99 |
| 4 | 100-197 | 1-20 | 198-210 | 21-50 | 211-221 | 51-76 | 222-350 | 77-99 |
| 5 | 100-208 | 1-27 | 209-221 | 28-57 | 222-230 | 58-76 | 231-350 | 77-99 |
| 6 | 100-212 | 1-28 | 213-224 | 29-54 | 225-233 | 55-73 | 234-350 | 74-99 |
| 7 | 100-216 | 1-29 | 217-230 | 30-58 | 231-240 | 59-77 | 241-350 | 78-99 |
| 8 | 100-223 | 1-37 | 224-236 | 38-62 | 237-246 | 63-79 | 247-350 | 80-99 |

*Cut scores for fall and winter are derived from the spring cuts and growth norms based on the typical instructional weeks. Spring cut scores for Grade 2 were derived from the Grade 3 cuts using the growth norms. Bolded numbers indicate the cut scores considered to be at least proficient for accountability purposes.

### 3.4. Classification Accuracy

Table 3.7 presents the classification accuracy summary statistics, including the overall classification accuracy rate. These results indicate how well MAP Growth spring RIT scores predict proficiency on the WA SBAC summative tests, providing insight into the predictive validity of MAP Growth. The overall classification accuracy rate ranges from 0.81 to 0.87 for ELA/reading and 0.79 to 0.89 for mathematics. These values suggest that the RIT cut scores are good at classifying students as proficient or not proficient on the WA SBAC summative assessment. For Grade 2, the classification accuracy rate refers to how well the MAP Growth cuts can predict students' proficiency status on WA SBAC in Grade 3.

Although the results show that MAP Growth scores can be used to accurately classify students as likely to be proficient on the WA SBAC summative tests, there is a notable limitation to how these results should be used and interpreted. The WA SBAC and MAP Growth assessments are designed for different purposes and measure slightly different constructs even within the same content area. Therefore, scores on the two tests cannot be assumed to be interchangeable. MAP Growth may not be used as a substitute for the state tests and vice versa.

Table 3.7. Classification Accuracy Results

| Grade | N | Cut Score |  | Class. Accuracy* | Rate* |  | Sensitivity | Specificity | Precision | AUC* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MAP Growth | WA SBAC |  | FP | FN |  |  |  |  |
| ELA/Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1,472 | 188 | 2432 | 0.81 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.82 | 0.79 | 0.76 | 0.89 |
| 3 | 1,759 | 199 | 2432 | 0.87 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.89 | 0.84 | 0.88 | 0.94 |
| 4 | 1,547 | 207 | 2473 | 0.85 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.86 | 0.83 | 0.87 | 0.93 |
| 5 | 2,231 | 210 | 2502 | 0.86 | 0.22 | 0.09 | 0.91 | 0.78 | 0.87 | 0.93 |
| 6 | 2,076 | 216 | 2531 | 0.83 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.86 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.92 |
| 7 | 1,999 | 219 | 2552 | 0.84 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.87 | 0.80 | 0.88 | 0.93 |
| 8 | 1,840 | 223 | 2567 | 0.84 | 0.21 | 0.13 | 0.87 | 0.79 | 0.86 | 0.92 |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1,918 | 188 | 2436 | 0.79 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.87 | 0.72 | 0.74 | 0.89 |
| 3 | 2,259 | 200 | 2436 | 0.87 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.90 | 0.81 | 0.87 | 0.94 |
| 4 | 2,204 | 211 | 2485 | 0.88 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.90 | 0.86 | 0.88 | 0.95 |
| 5 | 2,727 | 222 | 2528 | 0.88 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.95 |
| 6 | 2,422 | 225 | 2552 | 0.88 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.89 | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.96 |
| 7 | 2,307 | 231 | 2567 | 0.89 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.89 | 0.96 |
| 8 | 1,955 | 237 | 2586 | 0.88 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.86 | 0.90 | 0.88 | 0.96 |

*Class. Accuracy = overall classification accuracy rate. FP = false positives. $\mathrm{FN}=$ false negatives. $\mathrm{AUC}=$ area under the ROC curve.

### 3.5. Proficiency Projection

Table 3.8 and Table 3.9 present the estimated probability of achieving Level 3 performance on the WA SBAC summative test based on RIT scores from fall, winter, or spring. "Prob." indicates the probability of obtaining proficient status on the WA SBAC summative test in the spring. For example, a Grade 3 student who obtained a MAP Growth Reading score of 201 in the fall has a $93 \%$ chance of reaching the Level 3 level or higher on the WA SBAC summative test.

Table 3.8. Proficiency Projection based on RIT Scores-ELA/Reading

| ELA/Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Start \%ile | Spring Cut | Fall |  |  | Winter |  |  | Spring |  |  |
|  |  |  | Fall RIT | Projected Proficiency |  | Winter RIT | Projected Proficiency |  | Spring RIT | Projected Proficiency |  |
|  |  |  |  | Level 3 | Prob. |  | Level 3 | Prob. |  | Level 3 | Prob. |
| 2 | 5 | 188 | 147 | No | <0.01 | 156 | No | <0.01 | 160 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 10 | 188 | 153 | No | <0.01 | 162 | No | <0.01 | 166 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 15 | 188 | 157 | No | 0.02 | 166 | No | <0.01 | 170 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 20 | 188 | 160 | No | 0.04 | 169 | No | <0.01 | 173 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 25 | 188 | 162 | No | 0.06 | 171 | No | 0.01 | 175 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 30 | 188 | 164 | No | 0.09 | 173 | No | 0.03 | 177 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 35 | 188 | 166 | No | 0.15 | 175 | No | 0.07 | 180 | No | 0.01 |
|  | 40 | 188 | 168 | No | 0.21 | 177 | No | 0.13 | 182 | No | 0.03 |
|  | 45 | 188 | 170 | No | 0.25 | 179 | No | 0.17 | 184 | No | 0.11 |
|  | 50 | 188 | 172 | No | 0.35 | 181 | No | 0.29 | 186 | No | 0.27 |
|  | 55 | 188 | 174 | No | 0.45 | 183 | No | 0.43 | 188 | Yes | 0.50 |
|  | 60 | 188 | 176 | Yes | 0.55 | 185 | Yes | 0.57 | 189 | Yes | 0.62 |
|  | 65 | 188 | 178 | Yes | 0.65 | 187 | Yes | 0.71 | 192 | Yes | 0.89 |
|  | 70 | 188 | 180 | Yes | 0.70 | 189 | Yes | 0.83 | 194 | Yes | 0.97 |
|  | 75 | 188 | 183 | Yes | 0.82 | 191 | Yes | 0.90 | 196 | Yes | 0.99 |
|  | 80 | 188 | 185 | Yes | 0.88 | 194 | Yes | 0.97 | 199 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 85 | 188 | 188 | Yes | 0.93 | 197 | Yes | 0.99 | 202 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 90 | 188 | 192 | Yes | 0.98 | 200 | Yes | >0.99 | 205 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 95 | 188 | 197 | Yes | 0.99 | 206 | Yes | >0.99 | 211 | Yes | >0.99 |
| 3 | 5 | 199 | 159 | No | <0.01 | 167 | No | <0.01 | 170 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 10 | 199 | 165 | No | <0.01 | 173 | No | <0.01 | 176 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 15 | 199 | 169 | No | 0.01 | 177 | No | <0.01 | 180 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 20 | 199 | 173 | No | 0.03 | 180 | No | <0.01 | 183 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 25 | 199 | 175 | No | 0.05 | 183 | No | 0.01 | 186 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 30 | 199 | 178 | No | 0.11 | 185 | No | 0.03 | 189 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 35 | 199 | 180 | No | 0.14 | 188 | No | 0.09 | 191 | No | 0.01 |
|  | 40 | 199 | 182 | No | 0.21 | 190 | No | 0.13 | 193 | No | 0.03 |
|  | 45 | 199 | 185 | No | 0.34 | 192 | No | 0.23 | 195 | No | 0.11 |
|  | 50 | 199 | 187 | No | 0.39 | 194 | No | 0.35 | 197 | No | 0.27 |
|  | 55 | 199 | 189 | Yes | 0.50 | 196 | Yes | 0.50 | 199 | Yes | 0.50 |
|  | 60 | 199 | 191 | Yes | 0.61 | 198 | Yes | 0.65 | 201 | Yes | 0.73 |
|  | 65 | 199 | 193 | Yes | 0.70 | 200 | Yes | 0.77 | 203 | Yes | 0.89 |
|  | 70 | 199 | 195 | Yes | 0.75 | 202 | Yes | 0.87 | 206 | Yes | 0.99 |
|  | 75 | 199 | 198 | Yes | 0.86 | 205 | Yes | 0.95 | 208 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 80 | 199 | 201 | Yes | 0.93 | 207 | Yes | 0.98 | 211 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 85 | 199 | 204 | Yes | 0.96 | 211 | Yes | >0.99 | 214 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 90 | 199 | 208 | Yes | 0.99 | 215 | Yes | >0.99 | 218 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 95 | 199 | 214 | Yes | >0.99 | 220 | Yes | >0.99 | 224 | Yes | >0.99 |

ELA/Reading

| Grade | Start \%ile | Spring Cut | Fall |  |  | Winter |  |  | Spring |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Fall RIT | Projected Proficiency |  | Winter RIT | Projected Proficiency |  | Spring RIT | Projected Proficiency |  |
|  |  |  |  | Level 3 | Prob. |  | Level 3 | Prob. |  | Level 3 | Prob. |
| 4 | 5 | 207 | 169 | No | <0.01 | 176 | No | <0.01 | 178 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 10 | 207 | 175 | No | <0.01 | 182 | No | <0.01 | 184 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 15 | 207 | 179 | No | 0.01 | 186 | No | <0.01 | 188 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 20 | 207 | 183 | No | 0.03 | 189 | No | <0.01 | 191 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 25 | 207 | 185 | No | 0.05 | 192 | No | 0.01 | 194 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 30 | 207 | 188 | No | 0.08 | 194 | No | 0.03 | 196 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 35 | 207 | 190 | No | 0.13 | 196 | No | 0.06 | 199 | No | 0.01 |
|  | 40 | 207 | 192 | No | 0.20 | 198 | No | 0.13 | 201 | No | 0.03 |
|  | 45 | 207 | 195 | No | 0.29 | 200 | No | 0.17 | 203 | No | 0.11 |
|  | 50 | 207 | 197 | No | 0.39 | 202 | No | 0.28 | 205 | No | 0.27 |
|  | 55 | 207 | 199 | Yes | 0.50 | 205 | Yes | 0.50 | 207 | Yes | 0.50 |
|  | 60 | 207 | 201 | Yes | 0.61 | 207 | Yes | 0.65 | 209 | Yes | 0.73 |
|  | 65 | 207 | 203 | Yes | 0.66 | 209 | Yes | 0.78 | 211 | Yes | 0.89 |
|  | 70 | 207 | 205 | Yes | 0.76 | 211 | Yes | 0.87 | 213 | Yes | 0.97 |
|  | 75 | 207 | 208 | Yes | 0.87 | 213 | Yes | 0.94 | 216 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 80 | 207 | 211 | Yes | 0.92 | 216 | Yes | 0.98 | 219 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 85 | 207 | 214 | Yes | 0.96 | 219 | Yes | >0.99 | 222 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 90 | 207 | 218 | Yes | 0.99 | 223 | Yes | >0.99 | 226 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 95 | 207 | 224 | Yes | >0.99 | 229 | Yes | >0.99 | 232 | Yes | >0.99 |
| 5 | 5 | 210 | 178 | No | <0.01 | 183 | No | <0.01 | 185 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 10 | 210 | 183 | No | 0.01 | 189 | No | <0.01 | 191 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 15 | 210 | 187 | No | 0.03 | 193 | No | <0.01 | 194 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 20 | 210 | 191 | No | 0.06 | 196 | No | 0.02 | 198 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 25 | 210 | 193 | No | 0.11 | 198 | No | 0.03 | 200 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 30 | 210 | 196 | No | 0.20 | 201 | No | 0.09 | 203 | No | 0.01 |
|  | 35 | 210 | 198 | No | 0.24 | 203 | No | 0.17 | 205 | No | 0.06 |
|  | 40 | 210 | 200 | No | 0.34 | 205 | No | 0.28 | 207 | No | 0.17 |
|  | 45 | 210 | 202 | No | 0.44 | 207 | No | 0.42 | 209 | No | 0.38 |
|  | 50 | 210 | 204 | Yes | 0.56 | 209 | Yes | 0.58 | 211 | Yes | 0.62 |
|  | 55 | 210 | 207 | Yes | 0.66 | 211 | Yes | 0.72 | 213 | Yes | 0.83 |
|  | 60 | 210 | 209 | Yes | 0.76 | 213 | Yes | 0.83 | 215 | Yes | 0.94 |
|  | 65 | 210 | 211 | Yes | 0.83 | 215 | Yes | 0.91 | 217 | Yes | 0.99 |
|  | 70 | 210 | 213 | Yes | 0.87 | 217 | Yes | 0.94 | 219 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 75 | 210 | 216 | Yes | 0.94 | 220 | Yes | 0.98 | 222 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 80 | 210 | 218 | Yes | 0.96 | 222 | Yes | 0.99 | 224 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 85 | 210 | 221 | Yes | 0.98 | 226 | Yes | >0.99 | 228 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 90 | 210 | 225 | Yes | >0.99 | 229 | Yes | >0.99 | 231 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 95 | 210 | 231 | Yes | >0.99 | 235 | Yes | >0.99 | 237 | Yes | >0.99 |

ELA/Reading

| Grade | Start | Spring Cut | Fall |  |  | Winter |  |  | Spring |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Fall RIT | Projected Proficiency |  | Winter RIT | Projected Proficiency |  | Spring RIT | Projected Proficiency |  |
|  |  |  |  | Level 3 | Prob. |  | Level 3 | Prob. |  | Level 3 | Prob. |
|  | 5 | 216 | 183 | No | <0.01 | 188 | No | <0.01 | 189 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 10 | 216 | 189 | No | <0.01 | 193 | No | <0.01 | 195 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 15 | 216 | 193 | No | 0.01 | 197 | No | <0.01 | 199 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 20 | 216 | 196 | No | 0.03 | 200 | No | <0.01 | 202 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 25 | 216 | 199 | No | 0.08 | 203 | No | 0.02 | 205 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 30 | 216 | 202 | No | 0.13 | 205 | No | 0.04 | 207 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 35 | 216 | 204 | No | 0.19 | 208 | No | 0.12 | 209 | No | 0.01 |
|  | 40 | 216 | 206 | No | 0.28 | 210 | No | 0.22 | 211 | No | 0.06 |
|  | 45 | 216 | 208 | No | 0.33 | 212 | No | 0.35 | 213 | No | 0.17 |
| 6 | 50 | 216 | 210 | No | 0.44 | 214 | Yes | 0.50 | 215 | No | 0.38 |
|  | 55 | 216 | 212 | Yes | 0.56 | 216 | Yes | 0.58 | 217 | Yes | 0.62 |
|  | 60 | 216 | 214 | Yes | 0.67 | 218 | Yes | 0.72 | 219 | Yes | 0.83 |
|  | 65 | 216 | 217 | Yes | 0.76 | 220 | Yes | 0.83 | 222 | Yes | 0.97 |
|  | 70 | 216 | 219 | Yes | 0.84 | 222 | Yes | 0.91 | 224 | Yes | 0.99 |
|  | 75 | 216 | 221 | Yes | 0.90 | 225 | Yes | 0.97 | 226 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 80 | 216 | 224 | Yes | 0.94 | 227 | Yes | 0.99 | 229 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 85 | 216 | 227 | Yes | 0.98 | 230 | Yes | >0.99 | 232 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 90 | 216 | 231 | Yes | >0.99 | 234 | Yes | >0.99 | 236 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 95 | 216 | 237 | Yes | >0.99 | 240 | Yes | >0.99 | 242 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 5 | 219 | 187 | No | <0.01 | 190 | No | <0.01 | 191 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 10 | 219 | 193 | No | <0.01 | 196 | No | <0.01 | 197 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 15 | 219 | 197 | No | 0.01 | 200 | No | <0.01 | 201 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 20 | 219 | 200 | No | 0.03 | 203 | No | <0.01 | 205 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 25 | 219 | 203 | No | 0.06 | 206 | No | 0.02 | 207 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 30 | 219 | 206 | No | 0.12 | 209 | No | 0.06 | 210 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 35 | 219 | 208 | No | 0.19 | 211 | No | 0.12 | 212 | No | 0.01 |
|  | 40 | 219 | 210 | No | 0.28 | 213 | No | 0.17 | 214 | No | 0.06 |
|  | 45 | 219 | 212 | No | 0.33 | 215 | No | 0.28 | 216 | No | 0.17 |
| 7 | 50 | 219 | 214 | No | 0.44 | 217 | No | 0.42 | 218 | No | 0.38 |
|  | 55 | 219 | 216 | Yes | 0.56 | 219 | Yes | 0.58 | 220 | Yes | 0.62 |
|  | 60 | 219 | 218 | Yes | 0.67 | 221 | Yes | 0.72 | 223 | Yes | 0.89 |
|  | 65 | 219 | 221 | Yes | 0.76 | 223 | Yes | 0.83 | 225 | Yes | 0.97 |
|  | 70 | 219 | 223 | Yes | 0.84 | 226 | Yes | 0.94 | 227 | Yes | 0.99 |
|  | 75 | 219 | 225 | Yes | 0.90 | 228 | Yes | 0.97 | 229 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 80 | 219 | 228 | Yes | 0.96 | 231 | Yes | 0.99 | 232 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 85 | 219 | 231 | Yes | 0.98 | 234 | Yes | >0.99 | 235 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 90 | 219 | 235 | Yes | >0.99 | 238 | Yes | >0.99 | 239 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 95 | 219 | 241 | Yes | >0.99 | 244 | Yes | >0.99 | 245 | Yes | >0.99 |

ELA/Reading

| ELA/Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Start } \\ & \text { \%ile } \end{aligned}$ | Spring Cut | Fall |  |  | Winter |  |  | Spring |  |  |
|  |  |  | Fall RIT | Projected Proficiency |  | Winter RIT | Projected Proficiency |  | Spring RIT | Projected Proficiency |  |
|  |  |  |  | Level 3 | Prob. |  | Level 3 | Prob. |  | Level 3 | Prob. |
|  | 5 | 223 | 190 | No | <0.01 | 193 | No | <0.01 | 194 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 10 | 223 | 196 | No | <0.01 | 199 | No | <0.01 | 200 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 15 | 223 | 200 | No | 0.01 | 203 | No | <0.01 | 204 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 20 | 223 | 204 | No | 0.03 | 206 | No | <0.01 | 207 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 25 | 223 | 207 | No | 0.06 | 209 | No | 0.01 | 210 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 30 | 223 | 209 | No | 0.11 | 212 | No | 0.03 | 213 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 35 | 223 | 211 | No | 0.13 | 214 | No | 0.06 | 215 | No | 0.01 |
|  | 40 | 223 | 214 | No | 0.24 | 216 | No | 0.13 | 217 | No | 0.03 |
|  | 45 | 223 | 216 | No | 0.34 | 218 | No | 0.22 | 220 | No | 0.17 |
| 8 | 50 | 223 | 218 | No | 0.45 | 221 | No | 0.42 | 222 | No | 0.38 |
|  | 55 | 223 | 220 | Yes | 0.50 | 223 | Yes | 0.58 | 224 | Yes | 0.62 |
|  | 60 | 223 | 222 | Yes | 0.61 | 225 | Yes | 0.72 | 226 | Yes | 0.83 |
|  | 65 | 223 | 225 | Yes | 0.76 | 227 | Yes | 0.83 | 228 | Yes | 0.94 |
|  | 70 | 223 | 227 | Yes | 0.83 | 229 | Yes | 0.91 | 231 | Yes | 0.99 |
|  | 75 | 223 | 230 | Yes | 0.89 | 232 | Yes | 0.97 | 233 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 80 | 223 | 232 | Yes | 0.94 | 235 | Yes | 0.99 | 236 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 85 | 223 | 236 | Yes | 0.98 | 238 | Yes | >0.99 | 239 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 90 | 223 | 240 | Yes | >0.99 | 242 | Yes | >0.99 | 243 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 95 | 223 | 246 | Yes | >0.99 | 248 | Yes | >0.99 | 249 | Yes | >0.99 |

Table 3.9. Proficiency Projection based on RIT Scores-Mathematics

| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Start \%ile | Spring Cut | Fall |  |  | Winter |  |  | Spring |  |  |
|  |  |  | Fall RIT | Projected Proficiency |  | Winter RIT | Projected Proficiency |  | Spring RIT | Projected Proficiency |  |
|  |  |  |  | Level 3 | Prob. |  | Level 3 | Prob. |  | Level 3 | Prob. |
|  | 5 | 188 | 154 | No | <0.01 | 163 | No | <0.01 | 167 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 10 | 188 | 158 | No | 0.01 | 167 | No | <0.01 | 172 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 15 | 188 | 162 | No | 0.06 | 171 | No | 0.01 | 175 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 20 | 188 | 164 | No | 0.08 | 173 | No | 0.03 | 178 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 25 | 188 | 166 | No | 0.14 | 175 | No | 0.07 | 180 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 30 | 188 | 168 | No | 0.22 | 177 | No | 0.15 | 182 | No | 0.02 |
|  | 35 | 188 | 170 | No | 0.32 | 179 | No | 0.26 | 184 | No | 0.08 |
|  | 40 | 188 | 172 | No | 0.44 | 181 | No | 0.34 | 186 | No | 0.25 |
|  | 45 | 188 | 173 | Yes | 0.50 | 182 | No | 0.42 | 188 | Yes | 0.50 |
| 2 | 50 | 188 | 175 | Yes | 0.56 | 184 | Yes | 0.58 | 189 | Yes | 0.63 |
|  | 55 | 188 | 177 | Yes | 0.68 | 186 | Yes | 0.74 | 191 | Yes | 0.85 |
|  | 60 | 188 | 178 | Yes | 0.73 | 187 | Yes | 0.80 | 193 | Yes | 0.96 |
|  | 65 | 188 | 180 | Yes | 0.82 | 189 | Yes | 0.90 | 195 | Yes | 0.99 |
|  | 70 | 188 | 182 | Yes | 0.89 | 191 | Yes | 0.95 | 196 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 75 | 188 | 184 | Yes | 0.94 | 193 | Yes | 0.98 | 198 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 80 | 188 | 186 | Yes | 0.96 | 195 | Yes | 0.99 | 201 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 85 | 188 | 188 | Yes | 0.98 | 198 | Yes | >0.99 | 203 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 90 | 188 | 192 | Yes | >0.99 | 201 | Yes | >0.99 | 207 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 95 | 188 | 196 | Yes | >0.99 | 205 | Yes | >0.99 | 212 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 5 | 200 | 166 | No | <0.01 | 174 | No | <0.01 | 178 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 10 | 200 | 171 | No | 0.01 | 179 | No | <0.01 | 183 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 15 | 200 | 175 | No | 0.03 | 182 | No | <0.01 | 186 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 20 | 200 | 177 | No | 0.05 | 185 | No | 0.02 | 189 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 25 | 200 | 179 | No | 0.10 | 187 | No | 0.04 | 192 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 30 | 200 | 181 | No | 0.17 | 189 | No | 0.10 | 194 | No | 0.02 |
|  | 35 | 200 | 183 | No | 0.26 | 191 | No | 0.20 | 196 | No | 0.08 |
|  | 40 | 200 | 185 | No | 0.37 | 193 | No | 0.33 | 198 | No | 0.25 |
|  | 45 | 200 | 187 | Yes | 0.50 | 195 | Yes | 0.50 | 199 | No | 0.37 |
| 3 | 50 | 200 | 188 | Yes | 0.56 | 196 | Yes | 0.58 | 201 | Yes | 0.63 |
|  | 55 | 200 | 190 | Yes | 0.69 | 198 | Yes | 0.74 | 203 | Yes | 0.85 |
|  | 60 | 200 | 192 | Yes | 0.74 | 200 | Yes | 0.86 | 205 | Yes | 0.96 |
|  | 65 | 200 | 194 | Yes | 0.83 | 201 | Yes | 0.90 | 207 | Yes | 0.99 |
|  | 70 | 200 | 196 | Yes | 0.90 | 203 | Yes | 0.96 | 208 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 75 | 200 | 198 | Yes | 0.95 | 205 | Yes | 0.98 | 211 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 80 | 200 | 200 | Yes | 0.97 | 208 | Yes | >0.99 | 213 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 85 | 200 | 202 | Yes | 0.99 | 210 | Yes | >0.99 | 216 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 90 | 200 | 206 | Yes | >0.99 | 214 | Yes | >0.99 | 219 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 95 | 200 | 211 | Yes | >0.99 | 219 | Yes | >0.99 | 224 | Yes | >0.99 |

Mathematics

| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Start \%ile | Spring Cut | Fall |  |  | Winter |  |  | Spring |  |  |
|  |  |  | Fall RIT | Projected Proficiency |  | Winter RIT | Projected Proficiency |  | Spring RIT | Projected Proficiency |  |
|  |  |  |  | Level 3 | Prob. |  | Level 3 | Prob. |  | Level 3 | Prob. |
|  | 5 | 211 | 176 | No | <0.01 | 182 | No | <0.01 | 185 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 10 | 211 | 181 | No | <0.01 | 187 | No | <0.01 | 191 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 15 | 211 | 185 | No | 0.01 | 191 | No | <0.01 | 194 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 20 | 211 | 187 | No | 0.02 | 194 | No | 0.01 | 197 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 25 | 211 | 190 | No | 0.05 | 196 | No | 0.01 | 200 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 30 | 211 | 192 | No | 0.10 | 198 | No | 0.03 | 202 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 35 | 211 | 194 | No | 0.17 | 200 | No | 0.07 | 205 | No | 0.02 |
|  | 40 | 211 | 196 | No | 0.26 | 202 | No | 0.14 | 207 | No | 0.08 |
|  | 45 | 211 | 198 | No | 0.37 | 204 | No | 0.26 | 209 | No | 0.25 |
| 4 | 50 | 211 | 200 | Yes | 0.50 | 206 | No | 0.42 | 211 | Yes | 0.50 |
|  | 55 | 211 | 201 | Yes | 0.56 | 208 | Yes | 0.58 | 212 | Yes | 0.63 |
|  | 60 | 211 | 203 | Yes | 0.68 | 210 | Yes | 0.74 | 214 | Yes | 0.85 |
|  | 65 | 211 | 205 | Yes | 0.79 | 212 | Yes | 0.86 | 217 | Yes | 0.98 |
|  | 70 | 211 | 207 | Yes | 0.87 | 214 | Yes | 0.93 | 219 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 75 | 211 | 209 | Yes | 0.93 | 216 | Yes | 0.97 | 221 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 80 | 211 | 212 | Yes | 0.97 | 219 | Yes | 0.99 | 224 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 85 | 211 | 214 | Yes | 0.99 | 221 | Yes | >0.99 | 227 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 90 | 211 | 218 | Yes | $>0.99$ | 225 | Yes | $>0.99$ | 230 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 95 | 211 | 223 | Yes | >0.99 | 231 | Yes | >0.99 | 236 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 5 | 222 | 184 | No | <0.01 | 189 | No | <0.01 | 191 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 10 | 222 | 190 | No | <0.01 | 194 | No | <0.01 | 197 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 15 | 222 | 193 | No | <0.01 | 198 | No | <0.01 | 201 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 20 | 222 | 196 | No | <0.01 | 201 | No | <0.01 | 205 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 25 | 222 | 199 | No | 0.02 | 204 | No | <0.01 | 207 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 30 | 222 | 201 | No | 0.05 | 206 | No | 0.01 | 210 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 35 | 222 | 203 | No | 0.08 | 209 | No | 0.03 | 212 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 40 | 222 | 205 | No | 0.14 | 211 | No | 0.07 | 215 | No | 0.01 |
|  | 45 | 222 | 207 | No | 0.22 | 213 | No | 0.15 | 217 | No | 0.04 |
| 5 | 50 | 222 | 209 | No | 0.32 | 215 | No | 0.26 | 219 | No | 0.15 |
|  | 55 | 222 | 211 | No | 0.44 | 217 | No | 0.42 | 221 | No | 0.37 |
|  | 60 | 222 | 213 | Yes | 0.56 | 219 | Yes | 0.58 | 223 | Yes | 0.63 |
|  | 65 | 222 | 215 | Yes | 0.68 | 221 | Yes | 0.74 | 225 | Yes | 0.85 |
|  | 70 | 222 | 217 | Yes | 0.78 | 223 | Yes | 0.85 | 228 | Yes | 0.98 |
|  | 75 | 222 | 219 | Yes | 0.86 | 225 | Yes | 0.93 | 230 | Yes | $>0.99$ |
|  | 80 | 222 | 222 | Yes | 0.94 | 228 | Yes | 0.98 | 233 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 85 | 222 | 225 | Yes | 0.98 | 231 | Yes | >0.99 | 236 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 90 | 222 | 229 | Yes | >0.99 | 235 | Yes | >0.99 | 240 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 95 | 222 | 234 | Yes | >0.99 | 241 | Yes | >0.99 | 246 | Yes | >0.99 |

Mathematics

| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Start \%ile | Spring Cut | Fall |  |  | Winter |  |  | Spring |  |  |
|  |  |  | Fall RIT | Projected Proficiency |  | Winter RIT | Projected Proficiency |  | Spring RIT | Projected Proficiency |  |
|  |  |  |  | Level 3 | Prob. |  | Level 3 | Prob. |  | Level 3 | Prob. |
|  | 5 | 225 | 188 | No | <0.01 | 192 | No | <0.01 | 194 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 10 | 225 | 194 | No | <0.01 | 198 | No | <0.01 | 200 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 15 | 225 | 198 | No | <0.01 | 202 | No | <0.01 | 205 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 20 | 225 | 201 | No | 0.01 | 205 | No | <0.01 | 208 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 25 | 225 | 204 | No | 0.02 | 208 | No | <0.01 | 211 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 30 | 225 | 206 | No | 0.04 | 211 | No | 0.01 | 214 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 35 | 225 | 209 | No | 0.10 | 213 | No | 0.03 | 216 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 40 | 225 | 211 | No | 0.17 | 215 | No | 0.07 | 218 | No | 0.01 |
|  | 45 | 225 | 213 | No | 0.27 | 217 | No | 0.14 | 221 | No | 0.08 |
| 6 | 50 | 225 | 215 | No | 0.38 | 220 | No | 0.34 | 223 | No | 0.25 |
|  | 55 | 225 | 217 | Yes | 0.50 | 222 | Yes | 0.50 | 225 | Yes | 0.50 |
|  | 60 | 225 | 219 | Yes | 0.62 | 224 | Yes | 0.66 | 227 | Yes | 0.75 |
|  | 65 | 225 | 221 | Yes | 0.73 | 226 | Yes | 0.80 | 230 | Yes | 0.96 |
|  | 70 | 225 | 223 | Yes | 0.83 | 228 | Yes | 0.90 | 232 | Yes | 0.99 |
|  | 75 | 225 | 226 | Yes | 0.92 | 231 | Yes | 0.97 | 235 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 80 | 225 | 228 | Yes | 0.96 | 234 | Yes | 0.99 | 238 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 85 | 225 | 231 | Yes | 0.99 | 237 | Yes | >0.99 | 241 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 90 | 225 | 235 | Yes | $>0.99$ | 241 | Yes | $>0.99$ | 245 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 95 | 225 | 241 | Yes | >0.99 | 247 | Yes | >0.99 | 252 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 5 | 231 | 192 | No | <0.01 | 194 | No | <0.01 | 196 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 10 | 231 | 198 | No | <0.01 | 201 | No | <0.01 | 203 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 15 | 231 | 202 | No | <0.01 | 205 | No | <0.01 | 207 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 20 | 231 | 206 | No | <0.01 | 209 | No | <0.01 | 211 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 25 | 231 | 208 | No | <0.01 | 212 | No | <0.01 | 214 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 30 | 231 | 211 | No | 0.01 | 215 | No | <0.01 | 217 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 35 | 231 | 213 | No | 0.03 | 217 | No | 0.01 | 220 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 40 | 231 | 216 | No | 0.07 | 219 | No | 0.03 | 222 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 45 | 231 | 218 | No | 0.17 | 222 | No | 0.10 | 224 | No | 0.01 |
| 7 | 50 | 231 | 220 | No | 0.26 | 224 | No | 0.20 | 227 | No | 0.08 |
|  | 55 | 231 | 222 | No | 0.37 | 226 | No | 0.33 | 229 | No | 0.25 |
|  | 60 | 231 | 225 | Yes | 0.56 | 229 | Yes | 0.58 | 231 | Yes | 0.50 |
|  | 65 | 231 | 227 | Yes | 0.69 | 231 | Yes | 0.74 | 234 | Yes | 0.85 |
|  | 70 | 231 | 229 | Yes | 0.79 | 233 | Yes | 0.86 | 236 | Yes | 0.96 |
|  | 75 | 231 | 232 | Yes | 0.90 | 236 | Yes | 0.96 | 239 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 80 | 231 | 235 | Yes | 0.96 | 239 | Yes | 0.99 | 242 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 85 | 231 | 238 | Yes | 0.99 | 243 | Yes | >0.99 | 246 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 90 | 231 | 243 | Yes | >0.99 | 247 | Yes | >0.99 | 251 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 95 | 231 | 249 | Yes | >0.99 | 254 | Yes | >0.99 | 257 | Yes | >0.99 |

Mathematics

| Grade | Start \%ile | Spring Cut | Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Fall |  |  | Winter |  |  | Spring |  |  |
|  |  |  | Fall RIT | Projected Proficiency |  | Winter RIT | Projected Proficiency |  | Spring RIT | Projected Proficiency |  |
|  |  |  |  | Level 3 | Prob. |  | Level 3 | Prob. |  | Level 3 | Prob. |
|  | 5 | 237 | 194 | No | <0.01 | 196 | No | <0.01 | 197 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 10 | 237 | 201 | No | <0.01 | 203 | No | <0.01 | 205 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 15 | 237 | 205 | No | <0.01 | 208 | No | <0.01 | 210 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 20 | 237 | 209 | No | <0.01 | 212 | No | <0.01 | 214 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 25 | 237 | 212 | No | <0.01 | 215 | No | <0.01 | 217 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 30 | 237 | 215 | No | 0.01 | 218 | No | <0.01 | 220 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 35 | 237 | 218 | No | 0.02 | 221 | No | <0.01 | 223 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 40 | 237 | 220 | No | 0.04 | 223 | No | 0.01 | 225 | No | <0.01 |
|  | 45 | 237 | 223 | No | 0.10 | 226 | No | 0.03 | 228 | No | <0.01 |
| 8 | 50 | 237 | 225 | No | 0.16 | 228 | No | 0.07 | 230 | No | 0.01 |
|  | 55 | 237 | 227 | No | 0.24 | 231 | No | 0.20 | 233 | No | 0.08 |
|  | 60 | 237 | 230 | No | 0.39 | 233 | No | 0.34 | 235 | No | 0.25 |
|  | 65 | 237 | 232 | Yes | 0.50 | 236 | Yes | 0.58 | 238 | Yes | 0.63 |
|  | 70 | 237 | 235 | Yes | 0.67 | 238 | Yes | 0.73 | 241 | Yes | 0.92 |
|  | 75 | 237 | 238 | Yes | 0.81 | 241 | Yes | 0.89 | 244 | Yes | 0.99 |
|  | 80 | 237 | 241 | Yes | 0.90 | 244 | Yes | 0.97 | 247 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 85 | 237 | 245 | Yes | 0.97 | 248 | Yes | $>0.99$ | 251 | Yes | $>0.99$ |
|  | 90 | 237 | 249 | Yes | 0.99 | 253 | Yes | >0.99 | 256 | Yes | >0.99 |
|  | 95 | 237 | 256 | Yes | >0.99 | 260 | Yes | >0.99 | 263 | Yes | >0.99 |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This study provides MAP Growth cut scores that predict proficiency on the WA SBAC for Grades 2-8 only. They represent a higher level of achievement than universal screening cut scores designed to identify students with the most severe learning difficulties who may need intensive intervention. MAP Growth universal screening cut scores for Grades K-8 are available in a separate report (He \& Meyer, 2021).

